

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: )  
Yoshihiro SAEKI ) Group Art Unit: 2826  
Serial No. 10/766,944 ) Examiner: Alexander O. Williams  
Filed: January 30, 2004 )  
For: SEMICONDUCTOR CHIP AND ) Date: August 30, 2005  
SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE )

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (571) 273-8300.

August 30, 2005  
Date

  
Shoshone Abdulkarim

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION/ELECTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated June 30, 2005, Applicant provides the following election:

The Office Action required election from among any one of the species of Figures 1-18. Applicant hereby elects the species of a semiconductor chip structure and semiconductor device structure as shown in Figures 1-3 for prosecution in this application. Claims 1-4, 13-16, 26 and 27 are believed readable on the species shown in these figures.

Because the election requirement does not explain which species the Examiner considers patentably distinct with respect to Figures 1-18, and Applicant must elect a single disclosed species for prosecution, this election is provisionally being made from among the following groups:

Group I: Figures 1-3, claims 1-4, 13-16, 26 and 27 readable thereon;

Group II: Figures 4-8, claims 1-5, 13-17, 26 and 27 readable thereon;

Group III: Figures 9-11, claims 6, 7, 18 and 20 readable thereon;

Group IV: Figures 12-14, claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 13-15, 18-21 readable thereon; and

Group V: Figures 15-18, claims 1, 2, 6-15, 18 and 22-25 readable thereon.



Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to confirm whether the above grouping is in accordance with his intended basis for the restriction. It is believed that claims 1, 2 and 13-15 are generic to the species of Groups I, II, IV and V.

Should the Examiner believe a conference would be of benefit in expediting the prosecution of the instant application, he is hereby invited to telephone counsel to arrange such a conference.

Respectfully submitted,



Donald R. Studebaker  
Reg. No. 32,815

Nixon Peabody LLP  
401 9<sup>th</sup> Street N.W.  
Suite 900  
Washington, D. C. 20004  
(202) 585-8000